

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION CARRIE KING

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Case: 2:06-cv-12329
Assigned To: Duggan, Patrick J
Referral Judge: Pepe, Steven D
Filed: 05-23-2006 At 12:25 PM
CMP CARRIE KING V. MRS ASSOC INC (D
A)

MRS ASSOCIATES, INC

Defendant.

LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN P. PARKER, P.C. BRIAN P. PARKER (P 48617)
Attorney for Plaintiff
30700 Telegraph Rd., Suite 1580
Bingham Farms, MI 48025
(248) 642-6268
(248) 642-8875 (FAX)
lemonlaw@ameritech.net

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY

Plaintiff, CARRIE KING (Plaintiff) by and through counsel, brings this action against the above listed Defendant, MRS

ASSOCIATES, INC (Defendant "MRS") on the grounds and in the amounts set forth herein:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Plaintiff brings this action for damages based upon the Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., and seeks actual damages, punitive damages, costs and attorney's fees.

II. PARTIES

1.

Plaintiff is a natural person and consumer, a resident of Whitemore Lake, Washtenaw County, Michigan, and a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) of the FDCPA.

2.

Defendant MRS Associates, Inc is a foreign corporation and a debt collector and is collecting a debt in its pursuit of Plaintiff.

3.

Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers by mail and by telephone. Defendant MRS regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due another and is a "debt collector" as provided in 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4.

This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this Complaint pursuant to the FDCAP, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.

5.

Venue is proper in the Eastern District-Southern Division of Michigan 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

IV. STATUTORY STRUCTURE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA)

6.

The FDCPA was passed to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. 15 U.S.C. § 1692.

7.

Under the FDCPA, a "consumer" is any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any debt. 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) including a spouse, 15 U.S.C. §1692c(d).

Under the FDCPA, "debt" means any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services which are the subject of the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

9.

Under the FDCPA, a "debt collector" is any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due to another. 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

10.

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

11.

A debt collector may not contact a consumer at her place of

employment if the debt collector knows or has reason to know that the consumer's employer prohibits the consumer from receiving such communication, 15 USC § 1692c.

12.

Any debt collector who fails to comply with the provisions of the FDCPA is liable for any actual damages sustained, statutory damages up to \$1,000.00, attorneys' fees as determined by the Court and costs of this action. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

13.

Plaintiff had a revolving credit card agreement with Bank One that she defaulted on in 2000. In 2004, Plaintiff started to receive many calls at work from MRS who stated she owed them \$5300. Plaintiff asked for proof but Defendant said they were sending a sherif to serve Plaintiff at her place of employment with a lawsuit if she did not pay towards the debt. Plaintiff paid \$1300 to avoid the embarrassment of being served a lawsuit at work.

14.

In April 6, of 2006. Plaintiff started to receive collection calls

from MRS who were again stating that Plaintiff owed \$5,000 and again, would not show or provide any proof as to the amount owed.

Plaintiff was threatened with court action and she told Defendant that they were not to call her at work anymore.

15.

Plaintiff informed Defendant not to call her at work. Plaintiff's boss, Janet Loesche, told MRS to stop calling Plaintiff's workplace. The calls continue today.

16.

Soon thereafter, MRS started to call Plaintiff's mother, Patricia Gagacki, with whom, Plaintiff has not lived with in over eight years.

Ms. Gagacki informed Defendant not to call her home anymore but the calls continued to Plaintiff's mother's home.

17.

In its initial phone contacts and subsequent contacts with Plaintiff, the Defendant has failed to give any notice of Plaintiff's validation rights under 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a) or 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(11) or notify her five days later of her rights to dispute or validate the debt during any of he calls made to Plaintiff.

18.

Since April of 2006, the Defendant has threatened court action against Plaintiff even though none of the debt collector callers for Defendant are licenced to practice law in Michigan, and subsequently, none of the threatened legal actions ever took place against Plaintiff.

19.

Further, the Defendants have added charges, costs and interest to the debt such that the Defendants now say Plaintiff owes over \$5000 and they refuse to explain the interest, costs and charges they have added on.

20.

As a result of the Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered statutory, emotional and financial damages, fears that she will lose his job and also seeks his attorney fees and costs under the FDCPA.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

CLAIM AGAINST DEFENDANTS

21.

Defendant MRS has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §
1692d(5) by calling Plaintiff at work with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the credit card debt.

22.

Defendant MRS has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §
1692c(a)(3) by contacting Plaintiff at work many times after being asked by Plaintiff and Plaintiff's boss not to contact her have created a risk she could lose her job.

23.

Defendant MRS has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §

1692c(b) by contacting Plaintiff's fellow employees at work about the debt when MRS was informed not to call again.

24.

Defendant MRS has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by repeatedly and falsely misrepresenting the character and the amount of the debt.

25.

Defendant MRS has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §

1692f(1) by attempting to collect a grossly inflated sum not founded upon any lawful instrument of indebtedness and also adding excessive fees similarly unauthorized.

26.

Defendant MRS has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §
1692e(2)(A), (5) and (10) by repeatedly misrepresenting the imminent nature of legal action, when none was contemplated and could not be legally brought in the State of Michigan by the MRS Defendant.

27.

Defendant MRS has violated the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e (10) by the use of false representations and deceptive means in its telephone contact with Plaintiff, and by failing to alert Plaintiff that the debt collector is attempting to collect a debt and that any statements she makes will be used for that purpose under 15 U.S.C. § 1692e (11).

28.

As a result of the actions of Defendants, Plaintiff hired the

undersigned counsel. Counsel has been an attorney in good standing for almost 13 years and has handled thousands of consumer cases, personally. Counsel is known in his field as a consumer advocate and a competent, experienced consumer trial attorney. As a result, counsel's time is billed at the reasonable rate of \$275.00 and hour.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court grant the following relief as against the Defendant:

- For compensatory damages;
- 2. For statutory damages;
- 3. For Punitive damages;
- 4. For Attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action; and
- 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a Trial by Jury on all Issues.

This 23nd day of May, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

THE LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN P. PARKER

BRIAN P. PARKER (P 48617)

Attorney for Plaintiff

JO 44 11/99

CIVIL COVER SHEET COUNTY IN WHICH THIS ACTION AROSE:

Washtenaw

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as require by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is require for the use of the Clerk of Court for the ournose of initiating the civil docket sheet.

for the use of the Clerk of	Contract the barbase of	i initiating the civil do	10 KB1 20181	HL.		•	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANT	rs		
Carrie King				MRS A	Associates, Inc.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed:)/ashtenay/			Ingham		
				County of Residue NOTE: IN LAN	, USE THE LOCATION OF THE		
(c) Attorneys (Name,	Address and Telephone	Number)		Case: 2:06-	cv-12329		
Brian P. Park		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		Assigned To	o: Duggan, Patrick J		
30700 Telegr	aph Rd., Ste. 1580				tge: Pepe, Steven D 3-2006 At 12:25 PM		
Bingham Fari (248) 642-620	ns, MI 48025 58			CMP CARR	IE KING V. MRS ASSO	C INC (D	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	I. CITIZ	l A) Z .			
-			(For D	iversity Cases Only		and One Box for PLA DEF	
1 U.S. Government D3 Federal Question			PLA DEF Citizen of This State				
Plaintiff	(U.S. Govern	ment Not a Party)			of Business	In This State	
☐ 2 U.S. Government : Defendant	(Indicate Citi	zenship of Parties	Citize	of Another 👙 🖸	2 D 2 Incorporated a of Business	ind Principal □ 5 □ In Another State	
	in Item III)		Citize	n or Subject of 🖸	3 🗆 3 Foreign Nation	06 0	
NATURE OF CHI	F (D) IVE	<u>_</u>	For	eign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		n One Box Only) RTS	FORF	EITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	D 61	O Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury- Med. Malpractic		20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawai	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
Ci 140 Negotiable Instrument	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	-	of Property 21: 881	28 USC 157	☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	
Displayment and Enforcement	320 Assault, Libel And Slander			10 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation	
of Judgment		Injury Product	1_	IOR.R. & Truck	PROPERTI RIGHTS	10 470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers*	Ciability		iO Airline Regs. iO Occupational	☐ 820 Copyrights	□ 810 Selective Service	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 370 Other Fraud	r]	Safety/Health 30 Other	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Tradomark	☐ B50 Securities/Commodities/	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Uability ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending ☐ 380 Other Personal		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	© 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	
D 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damas		O Fair Labor Stendards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability G 360 Other Personal	Cl 385 Property Damag Product Liability	⁻ 1	Act 20 Labor/Mgmt.	☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(gi)	B93 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	<u>-</u>	Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	O 441 Vating	D . 510 Motions to Vac	ate	& Disclosure Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee	
 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	a 74	10 Railway Labor Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty	D 7	90 Other Labor Litigation	or Defendant)	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	□ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights	er 🗀 75	I Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	B71 IRS—Third Party	990 Other Statutory Actions	
		☐ 555 Prison Condition	n		26 USC 7609	15 U.S. (5)18/20159	
V. OBIGIN (PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BO	X ONLY)		Trans	ferred	Appeal to	
7	emoved from 10 3 F		Reins	from tated D 5 anoth	er district	District Judge from 7 Magistrate	
	Citioson inditi	Remanded from D Appellate Court	4 or Reop	(spec	ify) G Multidist Litigation	(I)CT C IVIOGISTIATO	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	r dixi	tatute under which you tional statutes unless div	are filing a		nt of cause.		
Fox Doct /	Jection Day	tron 1+	151	25t2 516	17.et soc		
VII. REQUESTED IN		S IS A CLASS ACTIO	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	EMAND	CHECK YES ONLY		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.	r. 23, /	(3/2)	15,000 t)	JURY DEMAND:	erres 10 No.	
VIII. RELATED CASE	instructions):	JUDGE	-		DOCKET NUMBER		
			Agree A	elecopes /			
= 122/or		SIGNATURE OF ATT	OKNEY (D	HECORD	ye.		
<i>طا 105/1</i> 0		Х			7 1 2		

PURSUAI	Case 2:06-cv-12329-PJD-SDP Document 1 File	ed 05/23/06 F	Page 12 of 12	
l	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?		□ Yes	
lf yes, give	e the following information:			
Court:		-		
Case No.:		_		
•		_		
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or discontinued or dismissed companion cases in the other court, including state court? (Companion camatters in which it appears substantially similar expectages of the same or related parties are presecuses arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.	is or any uses are vidence will int and the	☐ No	
If yes, giv	e the following information:			
Court:				
Case No.:			·	
•				
Notes:		·		